

## System description Johnny\&Nikolas

## 1. Base

Transfer Walsh
1N 15-17 / 14-16
$1 \% 2+B A L$ or *
1-4+ UNBAL
2*W2 or STR
2• Multi FG * W2 M 24-25 BAL
2M 10-12 6+ (what do we do with 64MM?)
3N 8 tricks in M

## 2．Minor

－1s see TACO
$1-1$／ 1 ； $1 M$
$14(12-1 *) \quad$ TRF to $1 N$ with
a）Wants to play 1 N
b） 45 MM （NF，INV or FG）
c）Weak w 4c $\vee \& 5+$ \＆
1N（19－1＊）
NF， 44 MM
1N（1ヶ－1
2
NF
＂TRF＂with
a）Weak w $5+$＊
b）INV，5＋M
c）Weak／FG w 4 c M \＆ $5+$ \＆
2 ，
FG and asking（Normally 5＋M or bal／4441）
$2 \vee(1$－ 1 －$) \quad$ INV， 55 MM
2M
To play， $5+$ M
$2 \uparrow(12-1 *)$ INV， 44 MM
2N INV（4－c M）
3
INV，4c M \＆5＋／
$3 \vee(1 \backsim-1 \vee) \quad$ to play 3 N from O
3M
INV，6＋M
3N

```
1*-1* 1`-1ヵ;1N
```

| Pass | To play |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Weak／FG w 4c ¢ \＆5＋＊ |
| 2 | INV， $45 \mathrm{MM} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~N}$ MAX no Fit 3m good 5－c suit MAX no Fit； 3 m INV |
| $2 \vee$ | NF， 45 MM |
| 29 | FG， $45 \mathrm{MM}+1$ Ask short？ |
| 2 N | INV |
| 3 N | to play |


2 •（19－1ソ）INV， 54 MM
2M
INV，5（＋）M
2N
TRF，Weak／FG w 4c M \＆5＋［ 3new＝FG，short，3M＝ST（42）25，3N＝NF（42）25
3
INV， 55 Mm
$3 \vee(19-1 \vee)$
INV， 55 MM ，
3M INV，6＋Good suit（HHxxxx or better）
$3 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{c}$ with 5 c M ．Opener allowed to pass 3 c supp as well

```
1%-1*/\ 1N
2%"TRF" with
a) Weak w 5+*
b) INV, 5+M
c) Weak/FG w 4c M & 5+ &
2 FG and asking (Normally 5+ M or bal/4441)
2\vee(1&-1`) NF,54 MM
2M To play,5+M
2^(1*-1*) INV,44 MM
2N INV (4-c M)
3%/* INV, 4cM & 5+ %/
3\vee(1*-1\vee) INV, 55 MM, short &
3M INV, 6+M
3N To play
1-1*/\; 1N-2*;2*
2 (12-1V) INV, 54 MM
2M INV,5(+) M
2N TRF, Weak/FG w 4c M & 5+ [ 3new = FG and short, 3M = ST (42)25, 3N = NF (42)25
3/ INV,55 Mm
3\vee(1)-1\vee) INV, 55 MM, short*
3M INV, 6+ Good suit (HHxxxx or better)
3N p/c with 5c M. Opener allowed to pass 3c supp as well
```


## - 1

                see TACO but
    1 - 1 M;
$14 \quad 4+$ (continuation according to XYZ) (How to handle 4351???)
$1 \mathrm{~N} \quad$ a) $11-14(15), 6+\diamond$ (not 3 c M)
b) (16)17+, "any"
2 * 11-15(16), $54+\mathrm{mm}($ not 3c M, might be 1444 aft-c 1 - 1 )
2 M-1 $\quad \approx 14-16$ with 3 c M support
2 - (1 ヶ) 11-15(16), $5+$ \& 4(+) (not 3c $\uparrow$ )
$2 \mathrm{M} \quad$ Min with 3-4c M support (bad min if 4c supp)
2 • (1 $) \quad \mathrm{F}$ ) G$), 4+(2 \mathrm{~N}=$ Moderator, oth-c nat FG)
$2 \mathrm{~N} \quad$ 2-way according to 5.6 in Taco
3* (14)15-16, 55 mm
3 - 15-16, 6+ \& 2c M)
$3 \mathrm{M} \quad$ Decent min with $4 \mathrm{c} M$ support (Relay ask for singleton)
$30 M / 4 * \quad$ Void SPL
4 2452 and superstrong ( $\approx 19 \mathrm{hcp}$ )
$4 \mathrm{M} \quad$ Gambling with relatively $\min$ 6-4

1-4-4-4 with wk NT strength we could (should??) open 1*
maybe stronger hands could be implemented in 1 N ??

1* $1 \wedge$
1N 2\%/
2v (14)15-16 1-4-4-4
But what with real revers here?

1*-1M; 1N


1 - $1 \mathrm{M} ; 2 \mathrm{M}-1$
2 M To play
$2 \mathrm{~N} \quad$ FG relay $\rightarrow$ continuation?
3 NF
$24 / 3 \div / 3$ Help-suit INV, 5+M
1 - $1 \mathrm{M} ; 2 \mathrm{M}$
2 M To play
2 N
INV+ or FG relay???
3
NF
$2 \uparrow / 3 \backsim / 3 \square$ Help-suit INV(+?), $5+M$

## - competitive bidding:

we have to agree on TRF situations. See Alert comp/Taco

## 3. Major

- Stenberg Skrot

3* Min (3 ask \$ NGF, new suit from $R$ is \$)
3 extras no $\$$ (new suit from $R$ is $\$$ )
3- extras \$ \%
3n extras \$
3N extras \$ oM

- Raise 2M

Romex Kokish my way :-)

1M-2M
+1 any INV hand
R bids 3 M wk; 4 M strg and values if he cannot decide
ALL other bids are SI and as Nat as possible
(lowest minor could be a 3-c suit)

1 • $2 v$
24 any INV
2N SI with 4-c a
to find a 44 Fit in oM either player could/should suggest an alternative fit before bidding 4M

1•2•
2^3A MAX and 4+ a

1ヵ2~
$2 N 4 \bullet$ MAX and $4+\bullet$

O is only INV no slam is in the picture

- 2/1

2 waiting
2M 6-c
2oM 4-c no extras needed
2N
$3 m \vee 55$ 14+
3 M good suit

2\& + 3*M-raise 17+

+ 3M M-raise 14-16
+4 M weak raise
$2 \&+2 N$ waiting
$2 \star / \star+2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FG} \& / \star($ while $3 \star / \star$ is NF)


## - 1 M Responses



1-14;2cont


1M-1N; cont

| 2 | a) $13-15(16), 6+\mathrm{M}$ (might have 4 cm ) <br> b) (16)17+, "any" (not 64 Mm ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 11-15(16), $5+\mathrm{M}$ \& 4+ |
| $2 \vee(1$ ) | 11-15(16), $5+$ \& $4+$ + |
| 2 M | 11-15(16), $5+\mathrm{M}$ \& 4+ * |
| 2 ( 1 - | F(G), 4+ \& 5+ ( $2 \mathrm{~N}=$ Moderator, other nat FG) |
| 2 N |  |
| $3 \%$ | (14)15-16, 55 Mm |
| $3 \vee(1$ ) | (14)15-16, 55 MM |
| 3 M | (14)15-16, 7c suit |
| 3 N | Gambling |
| $4 \%$ | 6-5 |

1M-1N;2cont
2 Positive, $\approx 8 / 9+$
$2 \mathrm{M} \quad 13-15(16), 6+\mathrm{M}$ (might have 4 cm )

| 29 | Hxx, INV+ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 N | Nat INV+, F1 (might have 5 C ¢ after 1 ¢ opening) |
| $3 \%$ | Nat, 5+ F1 |
| 3 - | Nat, 5 or 6+ suit? |
| 3 M | INV |


| $2 \vee(1$ ¢) | (16)17+, 3+ $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2- (1 『) | (16)17+, 6+ (one-suiter or 46 MM ) |
| 2 N | (16)17+, bal/semibal |
| 3*(1 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ) | (16)17+, 55 Mm |
| 3*(1) | (16)17+, 6+ (one-suiter) |
| 3 | (16)17+, 55 Mm |
| $3 \vee(1$ ) | (16)17+, 55 MM |
| 3 - (1) | $17+$ 5 ¢ \& \% |

2 (1 ) Natural weak
$2 \mathrm{M} \quad$ weak pref
$2 \mathrm{~N} \quad$ weak, 55 mm
$3 \%$ weak, 6+

## - competitive:

Alert comp??

- Passed hand or doubled

TRF starting with $2 *$
$2 \mathrm{M}+1$ 4-c with short
high-c Fitjump (4-c Fit, values)

## 4. 1SA

1 N can include a 5-c M
$-2 *$
-3 2

- 3
- 3 -
-3
$-3 N$
-4 m
-4 M
$-4 N$
$1 \mathrm{~N}-2$ *
2 (no)
- 2 -
- 2 ,
- 2 N
- 3 m
- 3
- 3 M
- 3 N
- 4 m
$-3 N$
- 4 \&
$-4$

Stayman, bid with:

- BAL INV (w/wo 4-c M)
- 44 or better MM, INV+
- $4 \mathrm{M} / 5+\mathrm{m}$ FG+
- 5-3/3-5 M

Trsf, promising 4+ $\downarrow$

- $5+\bullet$ any strength, but not $4+$ if INV +
- 4 -c $\vee$ in $4 \vee 441 \mathrm{FG}(+)$ or weak INV with $4 \vee /$ longer m

Same, but 4+ and not INV with 5 a
$6+$ \& or precisely FG with $6-1 \mathrm{~m}$
FG asks for 5-c M, bid with:

- FG, just wants to play 4 M on 44 or 5 (opener) - 3 fit. Not 44 MM!
- BAL ST, possibly 44 m
- (31)(54) FG (+)
$6+\downarrow$, wk or $\operatorname{FG}(+)$, though not $6-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ just FG
6+ INV
$5+5+\mathrm{mm}$, either "just for game" or strong ST
$5+5+\mathrm{mm}$, normal ST
for play
Texas
to play
quant, normally 4333

INV 45
INV any 5-c
BAL INV
$5+$ FG
NAT 5(+)
some support, values
warning
good support with top trick hand
Smolen, but could be 53.
$3 \vee$ could be 55 ST and $3 \vee / \perp$ could be (64) with ST.
to play, normally 44 MM
55 MM to play 4 M or to go on
trsf with (64) to play or to go on
$1 \mathrm{~N}-2$ (cont'd)
2 M
(not 44)
$\begin{array}{ll}-2 \mathrm{~A} & \\ -2 \mathrm{~N} & \\ -3 \mathrm{~m} & \\ & -3 \\ & -3 \mathrm{oM}\end{array}$
INV 5-c
INV (w/wo 4 甲)
$5+$ FG
NAT

| -3 M | forcing raise |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-3 \mathrm{oM} / 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | SPL |
| -3 N NB | 5 in oM, 3 M, NF. O may Lisbon with very good slam cards + support. |
| -4 M | to play |
| -4 N | RKCB |

(consistent principle: when we are in 3 M in a notrump bidding and the next hand) cannot have shortage, the +1 step is non-serious and 2-4 are serious cues. 3 甲-3 ; $3 N$ is a spade cue).

```
2 N/3
    -3*/\downarrow
```

```
44 MM min
```

44 MM min
trsf + 3 M module (+1 no short, +2 - +4 = short

```
    trsf + 3 M module (+1 no short, +2 - +4 = short
```


## NB!

The principal changes compared to earlier versions are:

- The possibility to show a 5-c M in R's hand and still be in force (as opposed to 2 red - 2 M ; 3 N )
- The showing of 55 in $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m}$ FG without bypassing 3 N
$1 \mathrm{~N}-2$
$2 \vee$ normal
a) 5-c (good) INV+. If FG then no interest in playing 3 N with $5-3$ fit.
b) $44(41)$
doubleton $\downarrow$, no accept

$$
-3
$$

55 INV

- 3
$5 \vee$ ST (O shows $5-\mathrm{c} m, \mathrm{Hx}$ in $\vee$ or 3 N. R's next 4 m is NAT with $\approx 5422$ )
$-3 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{a}$ 44(41)
- 3 N
to play (mild 5-c $\vee \mathrm{ST}$ )
- 4 m

5422 very good ST.
$-3$

- 3
- 3 -
- 3
$-3 N$
$-3>/$
-3 -
-2 N 4+, possibly weak INV with longer (we have, for simplicity, not put in any superacceptances here, except when O has 4-c $\vee(3 \vee)$ ).
-3 - $/ \downarrow$
- 3 .
- 3 N NB
-4*

54 hand with shortages NGF 1444
$55 \uparrow+$ Game only ( 4 om shows "very good slam cards, support M) $55 \vee+$ ST
$1 \mathrm{~N}-2$.
2 (cont'd)

| - 3 |  |  | 4+*, no 4441 included |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 3 * |  |  |
|  |  | - 3 V/ | shortage NGF |
|  |  | -3N | 55 NF |
|  |  | -4\% | 55 ST |


| -3 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $-3 \downarrow$ |
| $-3 N$ |  |
|  | $-4 \downarrow$ |
|  | - side suit |

```
6+• ST, no void
normal bid (3 M module)
warning with xx in \(\vee\)
warning with better in \(\vee\), at most one Ace
support and (good) suit, HHxx or better.
```

$-3 \downarrow$
$-3 ゅ / 4 m$
-3 N
$-4 \downarrow$

INV
void, ST
paco (since there is another way to bid 5-c M , this route can be more easily passed also with 3-c support.
mild ST with need of help in trumps (Hx or better)+ max/top tricks

```
1N-2
2
    -2N
    -3%
    -3
    -3 v/a
    -3 N NB
    -4m
    good max, 3-c support
    like above
    like above
    retrsf + 3 M module
        44(41)
        void 
        void
2N
    -3
    -3&/4m
    -3N
3m max with suit + 4-c support
    -4 m
3 "productive min" with 4-c support
1N-2 No 3-c acceptance.
2
    -3
            - 3 
            -3^
            -3N doubleton support
            -4m
    general max, 4-c support
    trsf + 3 M module
    void
    to play/suggestion
    support for 44 play (but 4 \vee passable from both)
No 3-c acceptance.
```



```
suit + support 3-c support doubleton support suit + support
\(1 \mathrm{~N}-2\) -
2
- 3 -
ST w/o void
- 3 -
\(-3 \mathrm{~N}\)
INV
paco
\(-4 \mathrm{~m} / \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }\)
```

```
1N-2N
3: No 5-c M
                            (31)(54)
        asks
            short &
            short 『 NF
            short ` strong
                                warning, fat in both Majors
    4
        support (3 N non-serious)
        no support
            ST 4-c (see 4 m)
            -34}
                -3N
            -4m
                -4* support, good slam cards (allows re-trsf)
            -4 support, not good slam cards
```

$-3 N$
-4

- 4

3

- 3 ท
$-3 N$
-4 m
3
- 3 s
- 4 m

1N-2
2 N (acceptance)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3 \\
& -3 \\
& -3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{a} \\
& -3 \mathrm{~N} \\
& -4 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

No 5-c M
(31)(54)
$\qquad$
short
short $\upharpoonright$ NF
short $\vee$ strong
warning, fat in both Majors
support (3 N non-serious)
no support
ST 4-c (see 4 m)
no support
ST 4-c support, not good slam cards

```
to play
ST, possibly 44 mm
ST 5-c *
5-C
retrsf, sets
to play
5-c ST
5-c
sets \(\downarrow\)
5-c ST
3: (no acceptance)
- same, but 3 N is mild ST
\(1 \mathrm{~N}-3\)
3
-3 N shortage
-3 N
mild ST
-4 6-4 mm ST
-4 sets suit
```

$1 N-3$ -
3

- 3 N
- $4 *$
-5
(-4 N
$3 N$
4 m
$1 N-3$
3 N
4 m
$1 \mathrm{~N}-4$ ?
4


## Opposed:

NAT
(2 X)
$-2 Y$
$-2 \mathrm{~N}++$

- 4 m
$-4 \vee(X=\vee)$
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3 \diamond / \downarrow \\
& -3 \diamond \\
& -4 \$ \\
& -4 \diamond / \downarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

## NAT NF

Rumpelsohl mod

* 2 N lowest
* 3 mid
* 3 - highest
* $3 \vee$ stopper ask ( $3 \boldsymbol{\wedge}=$ no stop with no $5-\mathrm{c}$ suit or half stop $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~N}$ asks for half stop. $4 \mathrm{Y}=$ no stop, 5-c suit)
* 3-3-suiter, short X

LM
trsf
(3 )
-3
-3
-4
INV + , $5+\boldsymbol{s}$
FG, $5+\bullet$
NAT
(3
-3
.4 m
-4
5+, FG
NAT
trsf
(3

| $-4 \%$ | nat |
| :--- | :---: |
| -4 | trsf |
| -4 | FG |

INV+5+•/a
FG normally $\downarrow$, primarily stopper ask
55 MM
Trsf

- 4 -
nat
trsf
FG


## Bidding from 4 m

Is also played in 2 N bidding - :
BAL ST 4 m (in $2 N$ bidding: could occasionally be 4441)

R's $m$ is 4+suit


So: 4 is NAT, $4 \vee$ normally shows "not sure about trumps", 4 normally says "trumps we have, not sure about slam cards", 4 N is negative and 5 X RKCB responses with genuine support and good slam cards. 4 N is to play in all these sequences, so is 5 N directly over a 5 X RKCB response.

## R's $m$ is $5(+)$

| -4 M | support, cue, but not necessarily good slam cards |
| :--- | :--- |
| -4 N | no support |
| -5 X | support, good slam cards, RKCB responses |

## $R$ shows a 2-suiter where still both suits can be played:

(rarely in 1 N , some times in 2 N bidding)
$-48$

| -4 | agrees $(4 \mathrm{M}$ is still NF$)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| -4 M | negative with some support |
| -4 oM | acceptance and M support |
| -4 N | negative |

- 4

| -4 M | negative |
| :--- | :--- |
| -4 oM | acceptance with $\star$ support |
| -4 N | negative |
| -5 X | acceptance, $M$ support and RKCB response |

R shows a 3-suiter and O "signs off" in 3 M (= "never" has 4-card support for any M of R's)
$3 N$

| -4N | quant <br> $\rightarrow 5 \times 4$-Ace response, often with 4-card support for a minor (after response, 5 N is for play, 5 wants to play slam if R has 4 - card support for m ), 6 in own m ( if mm ) is to play 6 m or 6 N ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} -4 X \text { (shown suit) } \\ \rightarrow 4 \end{gathered}$ | 5 -card suit in $5440 \rightarrow$ suits playable are 5 -card suit or other $m$ (so 3 suits if $X=M$ ) <br> $\rightarrow 4 \diamond$ (if R has 4 ) NAT $\rightarrow 4$ EBW <br> $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{M}(+\star$ if R does not have $\downarrow) \rightarrow$ fit but not good slam cards, cue <br> $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}$ doubleton support or really bad cards <br> $\rightarrow 5 \times 3$-4-card support, decent slam cards, RKCB/Excl BW response for <br> $\rightarrow$ after 4-level rebids: step $1=$ RKCB, $4 \mathrm{~N} / 5 * \mathrm{NF}$ <br> $\rightarrow$ after $4 \mathrm{~N}: 5 \mathrm{X}$ EBW response, insisting ( 5 N sign-off) |
| $\rightarrow 4$ |  |
| $(\rightarrow 4 \times 1$ | $\rightarrow$ model to be decided) |
| - 4 Y (short suit) | 4441 with too good cards for quantitative 4 N <br> $\rightarrow$ step 1 support for lowest suit (next step: RKCB) <br> $\rightarrow$ step 2++ support for highest suit, RKCB response <br> $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}$ is not in step scale, denies support <br> (NB if R showed both MM in 3-suiter, then only fit in $m$ is possible so all steps except 4 N shows support for R's minor and are RKCB responses) |

NB! R can choose to go the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ way or the $2 \mathrm{~N}+4 \mathrm{~m}$ way. He chooses the former with a hand where he either a) needs very good cards from O to bypass 3 N or b) where a continuation is simple (i.e. a raise to quant 4 N if O bids 3 N ).

## 5. 2SA 20-21

(also after any strg 2 N rebids)
3\% Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.
2N 3\%
3• no 5-ca, no 4-c
3V ? $\uparrow$
3^ 3-ca
3SA 2-cA
4x Q-Bid 4-c
3v 4-c or 5-c
3^ ? 5-CV
3SA 4-cv
4x $\quad$ - -Bid 5-c
3A 5-ca
3SA
4/4 MM
4• TXF $v$
$4 \vee$ TXF~
$3 \curvearrowleft+4 m \quad 4 m$ module see SANSAC 1N

2N $3 * \bullet \quad$ TRF
+1
$3 \uparrow / \vee$
3N
4any
no Fit $\quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ Module
5-c~ 2?-c
3-c Fit $\quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ Module but 4M Fit is excluded (no 3-c acceptance needed) ??
,
4-c Fit
$3 \bullet / \checkmark \quad$ TRF break with 4-c Fit (in 4x) or good (Hxx) 3-c Fit (in $3 N$ )
(TRF + 4m = Löfgren 4m Module ©)
Maybe better to bid 3 M with $3-\mathrm{c}$ Fit and $3 \uparrow / \mathrm{N}$ with $2-\mathrm{cM}$
3n $\quad 3 \mathrm{~m} \quad$-STAY $\rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~m}$ no, 4 m 4 -c Fit
4- 4-1-4-4 $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}$ no $\uparrow$-Fit Rest KCBW answ-c
4 1 1-4-4-4 $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}$ no $\vee$-Fit Rest KCBW answer


4* $\downarrow \quad$ TRF
4^

- competitive


## Open for TRF here as well

## 6. 2\& STR or W2

see Taco

## - Competitive

See Taco

## 7. 2 Multi

W2 M (3)5-9; FG ; BAL 24-25

| 2-2v | 3M | FG mm short M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-2^ | 3\% | W2 $\rightarrow 3 \bullet$ ask MINMAX |
|  | 3 | FG * noe 4-c M |
|  | 3 | W2v $\rightarrow$ will selb-c spielen/System v-cgessen |
|  | 34 | FG mm |
|  | 2N | 24-25 BAL |
| 2 - 2 N | 3* | MIN $\rightarrow 3 *$ FG |
|  | $3 * \downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ M MAX not HH |
|  | $3 \wedge N$ | $\checkmark$ M MAX HH+ |

## 8. 2 - /a 10-12

ML model

2

- 2 a F1
- 2 N INV+
- 3 \& NAT F1
- 3 - NAT F1

24

- 2 N INV+
- 3 * ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{INV}+$
- 3 - (5)6+ $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ INV+ (only on 2 か)
- 3 『 \& INV+
$2 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{~N}$
3 \& bad suit
- 3 re-INV (à $3 \mathrm{M}=$ no, 3 oM yes with shortage (ask with +1 ), 3 N yes no shortage)
- $3 \vee$ over $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ ?
- 3 M to play
- Higher cue/to play

3 good suit min

- 3 M INV only
- 3 N to play
- 3 oM asks shortage
$3 \vee$ good suit max
- $3 \boldsymbol{n}$ asks shortage (NB! Also when spades is the suit)!

3 ^ MAX 6-4 with a minor
(this is not in SSC but possibly necessary with such a strong opener to find slams? Possibly better to simplify to show void oM or something like that)

- 3N ask m

4\& $\rightarrow$ 4 ask short
4* short \&
4 - short oM

3 N AKQxxx
$4 \mathrm{~m} /(\boldsymbol{*}) \quad$ void

- Competitiv

9. $3 X$
see Taco

## 10. <br> 3N

8 tricks in $M$

See Taco

## 11. Defensiv bidding

- 2 suiter alsways constructive

Q-Bid $2 m=M M$ $2 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{oM}+\ldots$ ? ?
2N lowest unbid

- vs. 1 N MultiLandy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 *=+\uparrow \\
& 2 \uparrow=\uparrow / \uparrow \\
& 2 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m} \\
& 2 \mathrm{~N}=\boldsymbol{\uparrow}+ \\
& \mathrm{wk} \text { NT: } \quad \text { Dbl } 15+\text { Bel. } \\
& \text { strg NT(or passed hand) } \mathrm{X}=5 \mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{M} \rightarrow 2 \star \text { ?m; 2 ?M }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Vs. Strg $\circ$ /

Dbl NAt lead direcing
1LEV NAT; 1/2N mm
2 LEV Multilandy

- Wk jumps
$\rightarrow$ with M $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~N}$ FIT INV + , 3Q MR (7-9)
$\rightarrow$ with $\mathrm{m} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~N}$ NAT, Q INV+
- Rumpelsohl aft-c (W2) X, 1N (2 V $\boldsymbol{\wedge}) 1 ヵ(2 \bullet \vee \wedge)$ see Taco
- Vs. W2 Leaping Michaels ( $5+/ 5+$ ) 3-4 los-c
- Vs. 3any nIM

3\& 4\& + M 4- MM
3-4* $4+\mathrm{M}$ 4-MM
3 - $4 \& \&+\uparrow$ (could be $6 \& 4 \mathrm{M} \rightarrow 4$ ask) $4 *+\uparrow$
$3 \uparrow \quad 4 \& 4$ (could be $6 \& 4 \mathrm{M} \rightarrow 4$ ask) $4 *+\bullet$

- in comp. 2 N often scrambling (strength is not in focus)
- vs. 1N ovc

| $1 m(1 N)$ | $2 m$ | MM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2 o m$ | $o m+M$ |
|  | $2 M$ | NAT |
| $1 M(1 N)$ | $2 m$ | m+oM |
|  | $2 M$ | NAT |

## 12. slam bidding

- $4 N \quad B W$ (KC if fit known)

5* $1 / 4$
5. 0/3

5 2 no Q
54 2 with Q
5SA (0)/2 + void
$6 \mathrm{a}^{+} \quad 1 / 3+$ void (if void is known than no $Q / w Q$ )
next free bid ask in scanning mode for
Queen of Trumps
Kings in known 5-c sidesuite (respond-cs first), else from below (no Kings in Voids but we show singletons Kings)

- 4m
is not KCBW


## - 4m module

4 m is nat but no Fit is known see SANSAC 1N bidding.
This could also be implemented when we are in FG and Nat 3m bidding:

1* 1 N
$2 \times 2 \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~m}$

1* 1~
2- $2 \vee$
2^ 2N SI BAL

1* 1ヵ
2- $2 \boldsymbol{*}$
2N 4m SI BAL

1* 1red
+12
2•2N
3m

- Q-bid
1./2. Rd control bid economically


## - SPLINTER

a jump is a splint-c bid if we could bid the suit NAT $F$ at a low-c level rebidding a SPL is voidshowing but only INV strength or needing information (else direct voidwood)

- Voidwood
a double jump in a suit is voidwood if th-ce is a low-c SPL bid available a jump above 4M fit / 5 m Fit


## - serious/unserious

$3 \mathrm{M}+1 \quad$ unserious if we have M -fit (ov-c $3 \uparrow$ unserious 3 N is $\rightarrow$ - Q )
In TACO we separated this situations:
both unlimited = plain serious/unserious
one pd limited =
$3 \mathrm{M}+1$ no short suit; else short suit NGF (i.e. $3 \mathrm{~N} \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$-short ov-c $3 \mathbf{V}$ )
if advanc-c is known to be BAL $3 \mathrm{M}+1$ ask for short
if both are known to be BAL = plain serious/unserious

## - Last Train

Last Q-bid below 4M (our fit) is last train and only promisses SI but no control in the bid suit

## 13. Defensive play

## - Leads

suit $\quad 3^{\text {rd }} / 5^{\text {th }}\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right.$ from even low from odd $x x x x x$ ) honor leads: HH.. HJ10.. H98 98x (I know this is a bit controv-cs to Swedish standard HJ10 so if it is much more convenient for you we could give $3^{\text {rd }} / 5^{\text {th }}$ throughout a try, but I'm not used to this)

NT 4. $(X x x, x X x x, X x, H x X)$ What do we lead from 98xx?
Honor leads: A ask ATT. (AKxx)
K ask DBL A/Q/J or count
Q could be from KQ... else promising the J
Pd suit $3^{\text {rd }} / 5^{\text {th }}$ (see suit leads)
lat-c 3./5. from remaining count
but through declarer in untouched and unbid suits 2./4. INCL. rusinov ( $x \boldsymbol{X x x} / \mathrm{xXx}(\mathrm{H} H \mathrm{x} .$. )
honor leads: see suit leads

## Signals

UDCA
discard 1. discard Roman/SP (odd encouraging in the discarded suit, even SP) later discards (SP, count or att. (low enc.) what ever more needed)
following suit basically count but in tricks $2 .-4$. with at least some SP included
smith in NT low positiv
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