System of Hong Ding and Nikolas Bausback

## 1. $m$ Openings according to YAN Notes

Bypass $4+\diamond$ when less than GF AND rebid by opener prioritizes NT with balanced hand vs 1 M rebid; if responder cares, can go through nmf.
$1 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{NT}=13-154333$ no M

Spiral Raises
After $1 \mathrm{~m}-1 \mathrm{M} ; 2 \mathrm{M}$ raise (on by PH , on after $1 \boldsymbol{1}-1 \Phi ; 2 \Phi$ ), next bid is artificial asking ( $2 \Phi$ after $1 \mathrm{~m}-1 \boldsymbol{1 v} ; 2 \mathrm{NT}$ after $1 \mathrm{~m}-1 \mathbf{4} ; \mathbf{2})$.
$2 N T / 34=3$-card raise, minimum;
$3 \$ / 3$ = 3-card raise, maximum;
$3 \diamond / 3 \bullet=4$-card minimum (no shortness unless very bad hand)
$3 \boldsymbol{m} / 3=4$-cd max, no shortness
$3 \Phi((1 m-1 v ; 2 \boldsymbol{- 2 \Phi})$ or 4 new suit $=$ splinter, 4 -cd raise, decent hand
4 opener's suit $=4$-cd raise with 6 of opener's $1^{\text {st }}$ suit

Steve Weinstein article: https://bridgewinners.com/article/view/1m-2m-now-what/

## 2. 2 wcb

2 way check back
2.1. Situation:

1x $1 y$
1N ?
2.2. Mechanism:

2\% puppet to 2 .
Opener must bid 2
Responder passes when 2!D is the correct contract
Other responders bids are natural und excacty invitational
2. "any" game forcing Opener describes his hand economically
2.3. Example:

| $1 \%$ | $1 \sim$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 N | $2 \%$ |  |


| 2. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 v$ | INV 5+a 4+ |
|  | $2 \boldsymbol{*}$ | INV 5+a |
|  | 2N | INV BAL 4-Ca |
|  | 3\% | INV 5+\% 4-ca |
|  | 3 * | INV 6+* 4-ca |
|  | $3 \vee$ | INV 5a/ 5 V good suits |
|  | $3 \wedge$ | INV 6+a good suit |


| 1\% | 14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1N | 2 |  |
| 2v |  | 4-cv (3-cap possible) |
| 2^ |  | $3-\mathrm{cano} \mathrm{4-cy}$ |
| 2N |  | BAL 2-c a |
| 3\% |  | 5-ca* 2-ca |
| 3. |  | 5-c* 4-c (exceptionell) |
| 3v |  | free 5-c* 4-cv |
| $3 \boldsymbol{}$ |  | free (suggestion 3-C^5-C*) |
|  |  |  |

2.4. Other bids:

2M NAT nonforcing not INV
2• NAT $5+a 4+\bullet$ nonforcing not INV
2N INV no 5-c M
3\% to play no 5-cM
3. GF 4-c M 5+-c

3v/1^ GF 55 good suits
3^/1ท GF5』6ท
3M GF 6+M good suit

### 2.5. Continuation

After 2*
If $R$ invites with $2 \mathrm{M}\left(5^{+}-c \mathrm{M}\right) \mathrm{O}$ should pass with MIN
With a MAX hand and no fit O bids 2 N then we can explore 3 N or $5 / 2 \mathrm{M}$ Fit

| 1* | $1 \sim$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 N | 2\% |  |
| 2 | 2 A |  |
| pass |  | MIN no interest in game 2-3-c in $n$ |
| 2N |  | MAX 2-c ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 3* | NAT MIN INV 4-c \% not forcing |
|  | $3 * \vee$ | values exploring 3N/4^ |
|  | 3 n | MIN INV 6-c a not forcing |
|  |  |  |
| 3\% |  | free reinvite 3-c a kind of NAT/values 3LEV bids should be avoided |
| 3 |  | free reinvite 3-c a kind of NAT/values helping often the Oppos |
| 3 |  | free reinvite 3-c $\uparrow$ kind of NAT/values |
| 3n |  | reinvite 3-c $\uparrow$ |
| 3 N |  | MAX 3-c $\uparrow$ choice of game 3N/4 $\uparrow$ often 4333 |
|  |  |  |


| 2.6. After 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1N | 2 . |  |
| $2 \vee$ |  | 4-c $\downarrow$ 3-c $\uparrow$ possible |
| 2n |  | 3-c a no 4-c |
| 2N |  | no M-length |
| 3\% |  | 5-c* no M-length |
| 3. |  | 5-C** 4-c |
| $3 v$ |  | 4-c $\vee$ 5-c \% |
| 3 n |  | 3-c $\uparrow$ 5-c \% |
| 3 N |  | 3 A 334 suggestion for 3 N |
|  |  |  |

Responder bids natural. Principle of fast arrival (a raise not in game promise SI)
2.7. Possible issues:

- 2 N INV could be bid in 2 ways: directly or via 2 . One could use one way as showing $5-\mathrm{cM}$ and BAL INV. Another possibility is to use it to separate BAL INV hands with m-Fit and without m-Fit
- With the 2 wcb context one can use bids higher then 2 M artificial. As an example it could be used as TXF to have more flexibility. This could be discussed.
- A modern approach is to bid always 1 N as responder when having a BAL hand.

This means skipping a $4-\mathrm{c}$ M. This helps to reach a might be better contract of $2 \mathrm{M}(5 / 3$ or $5 / 2$ fit) or resting in 1 N not showing all opener suits.
This tactics lose a $\uparrow$-Fit after $1 \mathrm{~m}-1 \vee / 1 \mathrm{~N}$, but only if Responder is weak. If responder is INV he could bid $2 \%$ followed by $2 \uparrow$ showing exactly 44 MM and INV. I'm a big believer in the method (I call it full walsh). But not all players do so.

## 3. Inverted minors

### 3.1. Situation

We open 1 minor partner wants to raise. He doesn't have a 4-c major and at least 4-c in Openers minor.
We could have a BAL hand for the inverted raise if we fear that NT is better played from Openers side.

### 3.2. Mechanism

The responses in openers minor are switched. Direct raise strong 10+, jump raise weak 5-9.
With a BAL hand responder prefers to bid No Trump if his strength fits a NT-Bid. The raise to 3 m is always a unbalanced hand and at least 5-c support.
$\begin{array}{lll}1 \mathrm{~m} & 2 \mathrm{~m} & 10+\text { HCP no } 4-\mathrm{cM} \text { INV }+ \\ 1 \mathrm{~m} & 3 \mathrm{~m} & 5-9 \text { HCP no } 4-\mathrm{cM} \text { wk raise }\end{array}$


## 4. M Openings according to YAN Notes

### 4.1. 1 NT forcing

$\rightarrow$ jump rebids by responder at 3 level, invitational
After $1 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{NT}$; JS, 4 M is weak
After 1M - 1NT; 2m, 3sameM is balanced 3-card limit raise
After $1 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{NT}$; $2 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{NT}$ is to play
3NT = a flat (3-3-3-4 with 3 trumps) 13-15, offer COG
4.2. Jacoby 2NT
and responses
3 level new suit = shortness,
4 level new suit = at least HHxxx
$3 N=14 \sim 15$ or $18+$
$3 \mathrm{M}=16-17$
$4 \mathrm{M}=$ worst hand
4.3. Splinters

Normal splinters only: 12-14, singleton or void.
4.4. Drury
(reversed, 2-way) 2C 3-c; 2D 4-c
Bergen ( 4 trumps, $3=7-9 ; 3 \leqslant 10-12$ ), on in competition as long as it's a jump
4.5. Kokish 2-way game tries

Partner bids cheapest suit where he would accept game try
(1- $-2 \boldsymbol{2} ; 2 \mathrm{CNT}=$ would accept spade try).
Subsequent bids by opener below 3M ask about that suit.
***Any other nonjump bid shows shortness by opener as a game try (1■-2v;2NT = game try with short spades).
***After $1 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$; 3 of same major (w/o interference over 2 M ) = balanced game try (usually 17-19; could be 6-3-2-2 looking for NT)

## 5. $\mathbf{N}$ openings (according to YAN-NOTES)

5.1. 4-suit transfers
2. Range ask or $\$$ or strong $\$+$

2NT Minimum HCP

- 3\& weak 4
- 3 trong + , keep asking,
$-\quad \rightarrow \quad 3 v / 3 \Phi \quad \$ / \checkmark$ fit, $3 n t$ no fit
$3 \boldsymbol{*} / 3 \boldsymbol{s}$ strong $\$$, shortness

3nt strong 4 , no short

3\$ Avg+ HCP (could have weak \$)
$\rightarrow$ Pass weak
3 strong $+\downarrow$, asking,
$\rightarrow 3 \boldsymbol{m} / 3 \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi} / \checkmark$ fit, $3 n t$ no fit
$3 \boldsymbol{m} / 3 \boldsymbol{q}=$ shortness, strong
$3 n t=$ to play

3 better 4 pass weak + +
3 = weak
$3 \boldsymbol{/} / \boldsymbol{4}=$ strong $\downarrow$, shortness

- $\quad 3 n t=$ strong $\downarrow$, no shortness SI
$3 *=$ better pass = weak
- $3 \varphi / \mathbf{c}=$ shortness, strong
- $\quad 3 n t=n o$ shortness, strong

Jumps after transferring to a major = shortness

- Opener now has 2 options:

4 M with no slam interest
4NT RKC
Super-accepts after Jacoby are jump in suit with good hand and 4 trumps.

## 5.2. $3=$ Puppet

(bid 5-cd M if you have one; else bid $3 ३$ )
over $3 \star$, bid the M you don't have
Puppet when you have GF with one Major; with both Majors, use regular Stayman
5.3. $3=5-5$ majors GF
(with 5-5 invitational values, transfer to $2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and then bid $3 \downarrow$ )
3M sets trump
3NT to play (opener probably has 2254 or 2344 with concentrated values in the minors)
5.4. $3 \bullet=3-1-(5-4) \mathrm{GF}$
5.5. 3 = 1-3-(5-4) GF
5.6. Smolen
5.7. Texas
(also in competition through $3 \&$ [that is, if a jump]; after $1 \mathrm{NT}-(3 \diamond), 4$ is majors \& 4M is natural); new suit after Texas is cuebid
5.8. Garbage Stayman
(1N-2 $2-2 \emptyset$ ) $2 \boldsymbol{m}$ means both majors (4-4 or 5-4 either way)
after $1 N-2 ; 2-2$ is $5+4$, invitational
after $1 \mathrm{~N}-2 \boldsymbol{2} ; 2$ is a raise to 2 NT with 4

## 6． $\mathbf{2}$ suiter in competition

## 6．1．Michaels

When opponents open the bidding we want to be able to show any 55 hand precisely．

Over 1 level openings

Situation
（1\％）2\％
（1＊） 2
（1v） $2 v$
2 N
2N

## Principle

We always have good hands（ $10+\mathrm{HCP}$ ，a hand we at least consider to open on the one level）when we show 2 suiters．If pd is a passed hand we are free in our judgement．

2cue $M M$（over 1 m ）or oM＋m over 1 m
2 N lower unbids

## Example

| （1\％） | 2\％ | $A+v$ | （1＊） | 2 | $0+0$ | （1『） | $2 v$ | A＋m | （1ヵ） | 2 a | $\checkmark+m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 N | $\bullet+$ |  | 2N | $\checkmark+\%$ |  | 2 N | ＋+ |  | 2N | ＋+ |

Over 2 level openings
Situation
They opened a weak 2

## Mechanism

To show a 2 suiter we jump to the 4 level．This frees the Cuebid to ask for a stopper in the opening suit． This method is called leaping micheals．
The bids on the 4 LEV are FG ；－）so we need a certain strength． 5 looser or less is a good rule of thumb． Expl．：AQJxx xx x AQJxx．
（2＊） $4 \%$
（2v）4ヶ
4
3
$3 v$
（2ヶ）4ヵ
4
$3 n$

Example
（2＊）4\％$\quad 4+\mathrm{M}^{*}$ 4 －$+\boldsymbol{\rightharpoonup}$
3．ask stop
（2v）4～
4 ＋
3 －ask stop
（2A）4～4＋『
$4 *+\bullet$
3ヵ ask stop

| $4 \vee$ | $\bullet$ + SI control $\downarrow$ | $4 \wedge$ | + SI control |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4N | + ${ }^{*}$ no SI | 4N | + + no SI |

*If the $M$ is not known $R$ can asked with $4 *(\operatorname{see}(2 *) 4 \%)$
6.2. Over 3 level openings

## Situation

They opened a weak 3 bid

## Mechanism

To show a 2 suiter we bid at the 4 level. This sacrifice the natural 4 minor bid, but theory says 2 suiter are more important. This method is called nonleaping Michaels.
The bids on the 4 LEV are FG ;-) so we need a certain strength. 5 looser or less is a good rule of thumb.
Expl.: AQJxx xx x AQJxx.
(3ヵ) 48
(3*) 4\&
4
(3v) 4 4
4
4
$4 N$
(3a) 4ヵ
4
4a
4N

Example


* If the M is not known ( $4 *$ ) R can asked with $4 *($ see $(3 * / 3 *) 4 *)$

To have the ability to ask for a unknown $M$ we use $4 \diamond$ always a both majors and $4 \%$ as om+M

## 7. competition over our 1NT

(includes balancing and sandwich NT)
system on after non-penalty $X$ or 2 ( X is Stayman) (except 2 for majors, then unusual vs unusual)
7.1. 2 C MM

If 2 C was showing majors, double shows interest in penalizing at least one suit.
7.2. penalty $X$ of our 1NT:

XX: SOS, promising a $5+$ suit and asking partner to bid $2 \$$
Suit: lowest ranking 4 card, weak. Partner may bid his lowest ranking 4+ card suit if he/she have only doubleton or less

Pass: 6+ HCP

### 7.3. After overcall:

Lebensohl: fast denies
X is negative at all levels and positions
7.4. double of our transfer to a major

Superaccepts still on
Accept transfer $=$ at least 3 trumps
Pass = fewer than 3 trumps
Responder's bid of his suit = to play
XX by responder = retransfer; new suit after XX is GF
$X X=3$ trumps, maximum
8. 2 N

Puppet Stayman, Minor Suit Stayman, Jacoby, Texas

## 9. 2 C

9.1. Kokish
 2C2D
2 H 2 S
2N 24-26
3C H one suiter
3D $\quad \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{D}$
$3 \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}$
$3 \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{S}$
$3 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{H}$ BAL and stoppers

2C 2D
2H 2S
3C 3D double negativ
You may break Kokish (not bid 2¢) with a broken 7+-card suit in a bad hand
$2 \boldsymbol{4}-2\rangle ; 3 N T=$ good $26+\rightarrow 2 N$ sys on

## 10. Summary of NT ranges:

10.1. $2 \mathrm{NT}=20-21$
10.2. 2 then $2 N T=22-23$
10.3. Kokish then $2 \mathrm{NT}=24-$ poor26
10.4. 2 - $2 \downarrow ; 3 N T=\operatorname{good} 26+$
11. W2
$2 \checkmark / 2 \bullet / 2 \oplus-2 N T:$ OGUST

## 12. Defensive Bidding according to YAN Notes

Against 14 (artificial and strong) or $1 \$$ (artificial and weak)
DBL $=$ Majors
NT = Minors
Otherwise - Natural

Unusual vs Unusual

